# DESIGN OF PRESTRESSED CONCRETE PILE FOUNDATIONS 

George C. Fotinos<br>Chief Engineer<br>Santa Fe-Pomeroy, Inc.<br>Engineering and Construction Subsidiary of Santa Fe International Corporation<br>Petaluma, California

This sixth paper in the series of articles on "Design Considerations for a Precast Prestressed Apartment Building" covers the design of the prestressed concrete pile foundations.

The first part of the paper describes the typical design considerations that enter into proper pile selection. Such factors as pile capacities, pile lengths, driving conditions, and pile prices are discussed.

The second part of the paper presents the detailed design calculations for a typical prestressed pile foundation.

Detailed computations are given for
an interior footing, a perimeter wall footing, and a footing at transfer beam.

Step-by-step calculations are included for finding the number of piles, pile layout, beam shear, punching shear, moment steel, development length, and steel distribution. A middle section is devoted to lateral load analysis.

Finally, the last section presents the pile dowel and pile prestress calculations including a method for finding the pile pickup points.

A detailed foundation plan of the building, including sections, is included at the end of the design computations.

## DESIGN CONSIDERATIONS

## General

The design of a building foundation is affected by several factors. For purposes of designing the foundation for this building, certain assumptions have been made relating to site conditions and economic considerations.

## Design loads

As a starting point we will use the design loads from the lateral load analysis presented earlier by John V. Christiansen in "Analysis of Lateral Load Resisting Elements" (see Paper 2 in this series).

Table 1 shows a summary of the design loads that will be used in developing the foundation design.

Note that wind, and not seismic effects, controls the design of the structure.

## SITE CONDITIONS

In order to select the proper size prestressed concrete pile to use in the foundation, it is necessary to determine estimated pile lengths and maximum pile loads that can be developed by the soil.

In many instances, the maximum pile load is limited by the soil conditions rather than the structural pile capacity. For example, a dense sand layer underlain by clay may offer sufficient bearing for moderate pile loads while not being able to support the full structural load that the pile may be able to offer.

A soil exploration program should be included in the foundation design. Sufficient borings should be taken to insure that the subsurface conditions can be accurately established. In addition to providing data for developing pile capacities, the soil study normally includes an investigation of estimated settlements. Length of piling is often controlled by settlement requirements rather than bearing capacity.

For purposes of preparing the foundation design for this building, the soil profile shown in Fig. 1 is assumed to represent the soil conditions at the building site.

## FACTORS AFFECTING PILE SELECTION

## Pile capacities

Piles driven into the weathered granite with the proper size hammer will develop the maximum pile capacity available. Normally pile capacities ranging from 75 to 250 tons are specified for driven prestressed concrete piles used in building foundations. The

higher capacities generally become more economical as the length of pile increases.

The most common prestressed concrete pile sizes used in building foundations are the square and octagonal shapes from the $10-\mathrm{in}$. through the 20 in. size. Table 2 indicates allowable bearing capacities for square piles based on the Uniform Building Code.

$$
N=\left(0.33 f_{c}^{\prime}-0.27 f_{p c}\right) A_{c}
$$

Table 1. Summary of Design Loads.*

| A. Typical interior column | Axial load, kips |  | Lateral load, kips |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Service | Ultimate | Service |
| Dead load | 1358 | 1902 | - |
| Live load | 862 | 1463 | - |
| Wind | 56 | - | 4 |
| B. Perimeter wall | Interior panel load at mullion, kips |  | Corner panel load, kips per ft |
| Dead load | 577 |  | 25.6 |
| Live load | 86 |  | 2.2 |
| Wind (max) | - |  | 34.4 |
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Fig. 1. Assumed soil conditions.

The effective prestress in Table 2 is assumed to be 750 psi . Concrete strength was entered at 6000 psi in 28 days.

Fig. 2 represents a typical bearing capacity curve which would be devel-

Table 2. Bearing Capacity for Various Pile Sizes.

| Pile size <br> (square) <br> in. | Bearing <br> capacity, <br> tons |
| :---: | :---: |
| 10 | 87 |
| 12 | 126 |
| 14 | 172 |
| 16 | 225 |
| 18 | 286 |
| 20 | 353 |

oped upon completion of the soil exploration program.

For this particular problem it has been assumed that a gradual increase in bearing capacity is available with depth until the pile reaches the weathered granite at which point high bearing capacity is available for small additional penetration.

## Pile lengths

Maximum economy can be achieved in the use of prestressed concrete piles if piles can be driven full length without field splices. While splices can be installed in the pile to facilitate handling, their use will normally increase the pile cost.


Fig. 2. Assumed pile capacity curve (16-in. prestressed concrete pile).

Table 3 indicates practical lengths to be used in selecting pile sizes. These lengths are controlled by the transportation and handling of full length piling.

Piles longer than those shown above may be used under certain conditions. Extra long piles may require additional prestress in the pile for handling purposes.

Since the pile capacity curve indicates pile lengths will be in the 80 to $90-\mathrm{ft}$ range, any of the piles noted above would be satisfactory in meeting the practical length for handling piles.

## Driving conditions

If hard driving is anticipated at the site, the prestressed pile is sometimes fitted with a steel tip to enable the pile to penetrate the dense material a minimum distance for uplift or other considerations. This tip usually consists of a bearing pile section cast into the lower
section of the pile. Since the end area is substantially less than the prestressed pile, the resistance due to end bearing is reduced thus allowing the pile to reach required elevations.

Use of the wave equation method of predicting driving resistance and stresses will assist the designer in predicting the driving behavior of the proposed pile. This analysis, which considers the elastic behavior of the pile during driving has proven to be a useful and reliable aid to both engineers

Table 3. Length of Piling
for Various Pile Sizes.

| Pile size <br> (square), in. | Length, <br> ft |
| :---: | :---: |
| 10 | 100 |
| 12 | 110 |
| 14 | 120 |
| 16 | 150 |
| 18 | 170 |
| 20 | 190 |



Fig. 3. Cost of piling in relation to pile size.
and contractors in selecting proper size pile hammers that will insure the pile is driven efficiently and without damage.

For further details of this method see E. A. L. Smith, "Pile-Driving Analysis by the Wave Equation," Transac-tions-ASCE, 3306, Vol. 127, 1962, pp. 1145-1193.

## Pile prices

Probably the most important factors influencing the selection of prestressed
pile are pile prices and availability. When designing a foundation a review of current unit prices for prestressed piles available in the area should be made before selection is made.

Fig. 3 illustrates typical unit prices for square prestressed concrete piles FOB fabrication yard. Fig. 4 compares the cost of a $100-\mathrm{ft}$ length of pile divided by the allowable bearing capacity for the pile.

This is a measure of cost per ton of capacity available for the various


Fig. 4. Ratio of cost of piling to pile capacity in relation to pile size. (Note that the cost is based on 100-ft pile lengths.)
square piles. In this particular analysis, the 12,14 , and 16 in . square piles are all about equal to cost per ton of capacity.

In addition to unit prices based on furnishing at point of fabrication, transportation and driving costs should also be considered. Since it is beyond the scope of this paper to consider the many variables in transportation and driving costs, the 16 in. square pile was arbitrarily chosen for the following de-
sign analysis. Actual evaluation of these factors, plus availability of various pile sizes, may indicate other sizes to be the most economical.

## Design calculations

Step-by-step calculations for designing the footings and pile follow this section. A detailed foundation plan of the building, including sections, is included at the end of the design computations.

## FOUNDATION DESIGN CALCULATIONS

## TYPICAL INTERIOR FOOTING

## Assumed data

Service loads
$D L=1358 \mathrm{kips}$
$L L=862$ kips
Wind load $=56 \mathrm{kips}$
Base shear $=4 \mathrm{kips}$
Ultimate loads
$D L=1902 \mathrm{kips}$
$L L=1463 \mathrm{kips}$
Design service loads
$D L+L L=2220 \mathrm{kips}$
Footing $=80 \mathrm{kips}$
Total $=2300 \mathrm{kips}$
For preliminary design purposes try using a 200 -ton, $16-\mathrm{in}$. square pile.
Also, let $f_{e}^{\prime}=6000 \mathrm{psi}$ and

$$
f_{p c}=750 \mathrm{psi} .
$$

## Number of piles

From Section 2909, Uniform Building Code, the allowable axial load is:

$$
\begin{aligned}
N & =\left(0.33 f_{c}{ }^{\prime}-0.27 f_{p_{c}}\right) A_{c} \\
& =[0.33(6000)-0.27(750)] 256 \\
& =450 \mathrm{kips} \text { (or } 225 \text { tons) }
\end{aligned}
$$

Hence, our initial premise of using 200 -ton 16 -in. square piles is satisfactory.

Consequently, the number of piles required is $2300 / 400=5.75$. Therefore, for design purposes use six piles.

## Pile layout

The pile spacing is:
$3 d=3(16)=48$ in., or 4 ft on center.
The ultimate load per pile (without considering the footing weight) is:
$D L=1902 / 6=317 \mathrm{kips}$
$L L=1463 / 6=244 \mathrm{kips}$


Beam shear
The beam shear is calculated using Section 11.10.1 of ACI 318-71.

Assume that two piles are outside the distance $d$. Then:

$$
\begin{aligned}
V_{u} & =2(D L+L L) \\
& =2(317+244) \\
& =1122 \mathrm{kips}
\end{aligned}
$$

From Section 11.4.1, ACI 318-71:
$v_{c}=2 \sqrt{f_{c}}=2 \sqrt{4000}=127 \mathrm{psi}$
$b=7(12)=84 \mathrm{in}$.

$$
\begin{aligned}
d_{\min } & =\frac{V_{u}}{\phi b v_{u}} \\
& =\frac{1122}{0.85(84) 127} \\
& =124 \mathrm{in} .
\end{aligned}
$$

This depth exceeds the distance $d$ from the support.
Therefore, we must find the minimum depth $d$ required to keep the pile load within the failure plane.
Centerline column to
centerline pile 48 in.
One-half column width . . . . . . . 15 in.
33 in.
Pile misalignment . . . . . . . . . . 6 in.
One-half pile width . . . . . . . . . 8 in.
47 in.

Therefore, the minimum depth $d$ for beam shear is 47 in . For design purposes use $d_{\text {min }}=48 \mathrm{in}$.

## Punching shear

Follow Sections 11.10 .2 and 11.10.3, ACI 318-71.
$v_{c}=4 \sqrt{f_{c}{ }^{\prime}}=4 \sqrt{4000}=253 \mathrm{psi}$
$b_{o}=4(30+d)$
$=4(30+48)=312 \mathrm{in}$.
$V_{u}=4(317 \times 244)=2240 \mathrm{kips}$


From Eq. (11-25), ACI 318-71:

## Moment steel (long direction)

$d=48 \mathrm{in}$., $b=84 \mathrm{in}$.
$f_{y}=60 \mathrm{ksi}, f_{c}{ }^{\prime}=4000 \mathrm{psi}$
The moment at the column face is:

$$
\begin{aligned}
P L & =2(561)(2.75+0.5) \\
& =3640 \mathrm{ft}-\mathrm{kips}
\end{aligned}
$$



Allow a 6 -in. tolerance.
To find the required amount of steel we use the familiar equation for ultimate moment:

$$
M_{u}=\phi b d^{2} f_{c}^{\prime} q(1-0.59 q)
$$

Rearranging:

$$
\frac{M_{u}}{f_{c}^{\prime} b d^{2}}=\frac{(3640)(12,000)}{(0.9)(4000)(84)(48)^{2}}
$$

from which $q=0.065$.

$$
\begin{aligned}
p & =q f_{\mathrm{c}}^{\prime} / f_{y} \\
& =0.065(4000) / 60,000 \\
& =0.00433
\end{aligned}
$$

The required area of steel is:

$$
\begin{aligned}
A_{s} & =p b d \\
& =0.00433(84) 48 \\
& =17.5 \mathrm{sq} \mathrm{in.}
\end{aligned}
$$

Try using twelve \# 11 bars which gives an area of 18.7 sq in .
This gives a reinforcement ratio of:

$$
\begin{aligned}
q & =A_{s} / b d \\
& =18.7 /(84 \times 48) \\
& =0.00463
\end{aligned}
$$

But, the minimum allowable steel ratio is:

$$
\begin{aligned}
q_{\min } & =200 / f_{y} \\
& =200 / 60,000 \\
& =0.0033
\end{aligned}
$$

Therefore, the steel furnished is satisfactory.

## Development length

From Section 12.5, ACI 318-71, the required development length of deformed bars must be:

$$
\begin{aligned}
L & =0.04 A_{b} f_{y} / \sqrt{f_{c}^{\prime}} \\
& =0.04(1.56)(60,000) / \sqrt{4000} \\
& =59 \mathrm{in.}
\end{aligned}
$$

but not smaller than:

$$
\begin{aligned}
0.0004 d_{b} f_{y} & =0.0004(1.375) 60,000 \\
& =33 \mathrm{in}
\end{aligned}
$$

The development length provided is 4 ft 3 in . minus 3 in . clear length, that
is 48 in ., which does not meet the ACI specifications.
Therefore, try using smaller bars (for example \#10 bars).
$L=(1.27 / 1.56) 59=48 \mathrm{in}$. (ok)
Use $14 \# 10$ bars ( $A_{s}=17.8 \mathrm{sq} \mathrm{in}$.)
Check the spacing for one layer.
$[84-2(4)] / 13=5.85 \mathrm{in}$. center to center.

The clear distance is $5.85-1.37=$ 4.48 in.
$(4 / 3) \times 1^{1 / 2}$-in. aggregate $=2 \mathrm{in} .(\mathrm{ok})$

## Moment steel (short direction)

The moment at the column face is:

$$
\begin{aligned}
P L & =3(561)(0.75+0.5) \\
& =1683 \mathrm{ft}-\mathrm{kips}
\end{aligned}
$$

This value is less than one-half the longitudinal moment.

Therefore, use the minimum amount of steel, Eq. (10-1), ACI 318-71:

$$
\begin{aligned}
A_{s}(\min .) & =p b d \\
& =0.0033(12 \times 11) 48 \\
& =20.91 \mathrm{sq} \mathrm{in.}
\end{aligned}
$$

Try using 21 \#9 bars ( $A_{s}=21 \mathrm{sq} \mathrm{in}$.)

## Development length

From Section 12.5, ACI 318-71, the required development length must be:

$$
\begin{aligned}
L & =0.04 A_{b} f_{y} / \sqrt{ } f_{c}^{\prime} \\
& =0.04(1.00) 60,000 / \sqrt{4000} \\
& =38 \mathrm{in} .
\end{aligned}
$$

By strength considerations, approximately twice the steel area is already present.

Therefore, $L_{\text {req }}=0.5(38)=19 \mathrm{in}$.
The development length provided is: 2 ft 4 in . minus 3 in ., or 25 in . This is greater than the required 19 in . and hence the development length furnished is satisfactory.

## Steel distribution

Follow Section 15.4.4, ACI 318-71. The required reinforcement in band width $b$ from Eq. (15-1) is:

$$
\begin{aligned}
2 \mathrm{~A}_{s} /(\beta+1) & =2(21) /[(11 / 7)+1] \\
& =16.34 \mathrm{sq} \mathrm{in} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Use 17 \#9 bars in center band 7 ft wide.

## PERIMETER WALL FOOTING

## Interior panel at floor beams

First find the number of piles required. Service loads
$\mathrm{DL}=577$ kips
$\mathrm{LL}=86 \mathrm{kips}$
Footing $=50 \mathrm{kips}$

$$
713 \text { kips }
$$

Therefore, the number of piles required is $713 / 400=1.78$. For design purposes use two piles under the mullion area with floor beam.
(Note that in the above computation, the wind load was not a controlling factor.)

## Panels not carrying floor beams

Service loads
$D L=25.6+3$ (footing weight) $=28.6 \mathrm{kips}$ per ft
$L L=2$ kips per ft
$W=34.4$ kips per ft (maximum at corner)

$$
\begin{aligned}
D L+L L & =28.6+2.2 \\
& =30.8 \text { kips per } \mathrm{ft} \\
(D L+L L & +W) / 1.33 \\
& =49.0 \text { kips per } \mathrm{ft}
\end{aligned}
$$

With the above controlling load, the spacing of 200 -ton piles is $400 / 49=$ 8.16 ft .

Therefore, use two piles near corner at 16 ft maximum spacing.

## FOOTING AT TRANSFER BEAM

The added load to column at transfer beam is equal to one full panel ( 12 ft ) loading.
$D L=25.6$ kips per ft
$L L=2.2$ kips per ft
27.8 kips per ft

Total load $=27.8 \times 12=334$ kips
Transfer girder $=15$ kips
Total load to foundation
$349+577+86=1012 \mathrm{kips}$
Footing $\quad=\frac{50 \mathrm{kips}}{1062 \mathrm{kips}}$
Therefore, the number of piles required is $1062 / 400=2.65$. That is, for design purposes use four piles.

## LATERAL LOAD ANALYSIS

Wall shear (transverse), $V=369$ kips
Number of piles in wall, $N=14$
$V / N=369 / 14=26.4$ kips
Minimum vertical load at corner:

$$
1075-668=407 \mathrm{kips}
$$

Assume this load is distributed to six piles. Therefore, the load per pile is $407 / 6=68$ kips per pile
Maximum vertical load at interior panel:
$713 / 2=356$ kips per pile
The maximum moment in the pile is determined from the interaction of the pile with the soil.

The following are two suggested references:

1. Broms, B. B., "Design of Laterally Loaded Piles," Journal of Soil Mechanics and Foundations, ASCE, May 1965.
2. Reese, L. C., and Matlock, H., "Non-Dimensional Solutions for Laterally Loaded Piles," Bureau of Engineering Research No. 29, University of Texas, September 1956.

For purposes of this design assume the maximum in the pile to be 75 ft -kips.

Minimum condition
$P=68$ kips, $M=75 \mathrm{ft}$-kips
The pile stresses are calculated from:

$$
\begin{aligned}
f= & P / A+P e / Z \pm M / Z \\
= & (68 / 254)+0.750 \pm 75(12) / 668 \\
= & -2365 \mathrm{psi} \text { (comp) and } \\
& +329 \mathrm{psi} \text { (tens) }
\end{aligned}
$$

Now, since the allowable $f_{c}$ is:
$0.45(6000) 1.33=3600 \mathrm{psi}$
the section is satisfactory in compression. Also, because the allowable tensile stress is 600 psi , the computed tensile stress is below the required limit.

## Maximum condition

$P=356$ kips, $M=75 \mathrm{ft}$-kips
Similarly, the pile stresses are computed as above:

$$
\begin{aligned}
f= & 356 / 254+0.750 \pm 75(12) / 668 \\
= & -3499 \mathrm{psi}(\mathrm{comp}) \text { and } \\
& -805 \mathrm{psi}(\mathrm{comp})
\end{aligned}
$$

As shown above, these stresses are within the allowable stress limits.

Check the interaction formula:

$$
f_{a} / F_{a}+f_{b} / F_{b} \leqslant 1.33
$$

From the Uniform Building Code:

$$
\begin{aligned}
F_{a} & =0.33 f_{c}^{\prime}-0.27 f_{p c} \\
& =0.33(6000)-0.27(750) \\
& =1778 \mathrm{psi} \\
F_{b} & =0.45 f_{c}^{\prime} \\
& =0.45(6000) \\
& =2700 \mathrm{psi}
\end{aligned}
$$

Therefore, applying the above formula: $(1402 / 1778)+(1347 / 2700)=1.287$ which is less than 1.33 (ok).

Note: The passive pressure of the soil on the footing in the vicinity of the transverse wall could also be used to resist lateral load.

## Pile dowels

Use the minimum amount of dowels in the footing (i.e., $11 / 2$ percent the pile area).

$$
0.015(254)=3.81 \mathrm{sq} \mathrm{in} .
$$

Use four \#9 bars.
From previous calculations, the development length is 38 in .
To allow for a reduced prestress at the pile end use a 3 ft 6 in . embedment. Use a standard hook on top of the bar. From Section 12.8, ACI 318-71, the required tensile stress in the bar is:

$$
\begin{aligned}
f_{l} & =\xi \sqrt{f_{c}^{\prime}} \\
& =540 \sqrt{4000} \\
& =34,152 \mathrm{psi}
\end{aligned}
$$

The minimum embedment length is: $38(60-34) / 60=16 \mathrm{in}$.

## Pile prestress

From Section 2909, Uniform Building Code, the effective minimum prestress for piles greater than 50 ft in length is 700 psi.
For design purposes, including handling and driving resistance use a minimum prestress of 750 psi . Then the effective prestress force is:

$$
P_{e}=(0.750)(254)=191 \mathrm{kips}
$$

The design prestress force is computed from:

| 1/2-in. diameter strand (70 percent ultimate) | 28.91 kips |
| :---: | :---: |
| Losses ( $35,000 \mathrm{psi}$ ) | 5.36 kips |
| Design force | 23.55 kip |

Therefore, the required number of strands is $191 / 23.55=8.1$.
For design purposes use nine $1 / 2$-in. diameter strands.
The average compressive force then is:

$$
f_{c}=9(23.55) / 254=835 \mathrm{psi}
$$

With reference to Section 2909, Uniform Building Code, use a \#5 gage spiral.
Top and bottom ..... 5 turns at 1 in .
Bottom and top
(one-third) $\ldots \ldots$ at 3 in. pitch.
Middle of pile ....... at 6 in. pitch.
The head of the pile should also be checked for stresses using conventional column design since prestress is not present at the pile head. In general, this check is not a critical part of the design procedure.

## Pickup points

$f_{e}=835 \mathrm{psi}$
Use 50 percent impact with no tension.
Try a three-point pick (with equal reactions).
Let the maximum pile length be 90 ft .


The maximum moment is calculated from:

$$
\begin{aligned}
M_{\max } & =(0.0095)(w)(L)^{2}(1.5) \\
& =(0.0095)(0.273)(90)^{2}(1.5) \\
& =31.5 \mathrm{ft}-\mathrm{kips}
\end{aligned}
$$

The stress is found from:
$f=M / Z$
$=(31.5)(12) / 668$
$=565 \mathrm{psi}(<835 \mathrm{psi}, \mathrm{ok})$

The allowable maximum length is computed from:

$$
\begin{aligned}
L & =\sqrt{\frac{M}{(0.0095)(w)(1.50)}} \\
& =\sqrt{\frac{(0.835)(668)}{(0.0095)(0.273)(1.50)(12)}} \\
& =109 \mathrm{ft}
\end{aligned}
$$

Therefore, the selected length is satisfactory.

> A detailed foundation plan of the building, including elevation and cross sections, as well as the pile elevation and pile pickup arrangement, is given on the following two pages.

Discussion of this paper is invited.
Please forward your discussion to PCI Headquarters by February 1, 1975, to permit publication in the March-April 1975 PCI JOURNAL.



1. PIGNIMG ARRANGEMENT SHOWN ABOVE BASED ON

VERTICAL PICK POINTS OF EQUAL REACTIONS.
2. CONTRACTOR SHALL SUBMIT PICK- UP ARRANGEMENT
$\frac{\text { P/LE P/CK-UP PQ/NTS }}{N O S C A \angle E}$



[^0]:    *Note: In both the interior column and perimeter wall the seismic load effect is not critical.

