

PCI DENNIS R. MERTZ BRIDGE RESEARCH FELLOWSHIP

PROGRAM Proposal Review and Rating Form

Project:

	Weight	Rating	Score
Relevancy of Research: Is the research relevant to precast, prestressed concrete products or precast systems? Will the research contribute to the state-of-the-art or advance the usage?	5		
Potential Impact on Market: Is there potential for this research to improve current products or systems or provide thrust into new markets? Are there innovative features in the application?	4		
Research Capability: Is the faculty advisor experienced in precast, prestressed concrete research or the subject matter? Are there suitable facilities and equipment available? Has the graduate student been identified?	3		
Supplemental Support: Is there support from either a producer or the regional association? Is there support from the university or other funding agencies that contributes directly to the fellowship? (Support must be financial or tangible if rating is 3 or above. On analytical applications 3 or more support letters will count as support for ratings 3 or higher.)	4		
Overall Quality: Are the objective and scope clearly identified? Is there a research plan and a budget? Can the research plan be accomplished within the budget? Is the application well written?	4		
Total Score			

Outstanding - 5

Fair - 2

Very Good - 4

Poor - 1

Good - 3

Not Provided – 0

Rank

Rank – relative to the other applications, rank this proposal if in the top ten with a rank of 1 being best

If the fellowship is part of a larger project being carried out for a sponsor other than PCI, that part must be clearly identified, and the evaluation shall be made only with respect to the part that pertains to the fellowship.